# Towards the Estimation of Non-Uniform Illumination in Real-World Scenes

**David Bernecker** with Christian Riess, Sven Pfaller and Elli Angelopoulou

September 27, 2013 Pattern Recognition Lab, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg





TECHNISCHE FAKULTÄT



#### **Illumination Changes Color Appearance**



- With fixed camera settings, one can readily observe that: change in illuminant color  $\rightarrow$  change in object appearance
- These variations make color-based image processing challenging



## **Color Constancy: Separate Illumination and Material**

- Assume: with known illumination, color correction is straightforward
- Let  $\mathbf{i} = (i_{\mathrm{R}} \ i_{\mathrm{G}} \ i_{\mathrm{B}})^{\mathrm{T}}$  be the illumination color, and  $\mathbf{p} = (p_{\mathrm{R}} \ p_{\mathrm{G}} \ p_{\mathrm{B}})^{\mathrm{T}}$  the observed pixel color
- Then, the color-corrected pixel is  $\mathbf{p}' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p_{\mathrm{R}}}{i_{\mathrm{R}}} & \frac{p_{\mathrm{G}}}{i_{\mathrm{G}}} & \frac{p_{\mathrm{B}}}{i_{\mathrm{B}}} \end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$
- Hence, we "only" need an estimate of the illuminant color to normalize an image
- Many estimators have been proposed, e.g.
  - Gray world
  - Gamut mapping
  - Bayesian color constancy





## Example Approach: Gray World / Gray Edge

• Gray world hypothesis: sum of pixel or edge colors is illumination

$$\boldsymbol{l} = k \left( \int \left| \frac{\partial^n \boldsymbol{f}^{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}^n} \right|^p d\boldsymbol{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Parameters:

- Derivative order *n*
- *p*-norm
- Standard deviation of Gaussian smoothing  $\sigma$







## Limitation: Assumption of Globally Uniform Illumination

- Classical color constancy algorithms are designed to estimate a single illuminant color for the whole image
- However, many real-world scenes consist of two or more illuminants:



Flash / ambient light

Shadows / direct light

**Complex lighting** 

#### • The goal of our research is to estimate local illumination



### **Our Prior Work on Local Illuminant Estimation**

Bleier et al. (CPCV 2011): scale down spatial support of existing illuminant estimators





Input image



Superpixels segmentation





### What is Wrong with this Approach?

- Using statistical estimators on smaller image patches works, to some extend
- However, there are some serious drawbacks:
  - Segments are treated independently of each other
  - On average, smaller patches lead to larger estimation errors
  - No problem-specific knowledge is used, i.e., we only use an ensemble of "dumb" global estimators
- When estimating local illuminant colors, we have to solve two joint subproblems:
  - Estimate a set of illuminant colors in the scene
  - Estimate the **spatial distribution** of the illuminant colors



## **Contribution of this Study**

- We aim towards decomposing the scene based on its illuminant color distribution
- Assume we have a set of local illuminant estimates, then we seek a selection of a set of candidate illuminants
- This selector is heuristically chosen to limit the total number to only 2 or 3 scene illuminants
- Each local estimate is then recolored to the closest candidate illuminant
- Findings of this work lead to a recently developed, more complete illuminant color estimator that uses a Conditional Random Field



#### **Algorithm Overview, Input and Output**





- An input image is
  - Segmented
  - Local illuminant colors are computed (black: little confidence in the estimate)
  - From these colors, few candidates are selected
  - The segments are recolored according to the most similar candidate



#### **Segmentation of the Scene**



Input image

Superpixels

Superpixels w/ grid

- The scene is subdivided in "superpixels", i.e., areas of similar color (with the graph-based algorithm by Felzenszwalb and Huttenlocher)
- The resulting superpixels are intersected with a grid, to obtain regions of approximately the same size



## **Local Illuminant Estimation**

- For each segment, the illuminant color is estimated
- We use a physics-based approach, exploiting the inverseintensity chromaticity (IIC) space by Tan, Nishino and Ikeuchi (2004):
  - For every color channel, project every pixel to

$$p_c \rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{p_{\mathrm{R}} + p_{\mathrm{G}} + p_{\mathrm{B}}}, \frac{p_c}{p_{\mathrm{R}} + p_{\mathrm{G}} + p_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)$$





- Partially specular pixels form a triangular shape.
- The tip of the triangle intersects the y-axis
- The position on the y-axis is the illuminant color estimate for color c
- We added some shape constraints in prior work to suppress outliers



#### **Computation of the Segment Confidence**





Input image

Confidence map

- IIC space relies on some specular reflection in the segment
- Segments with purely diffuse reflection are likely to produce wrong estimates
- We use the specularity segmentation by Tan and Ikeuchi (2005) to estimate the amount of specular pixels per segments.



## **Selecting Candidate Illuminants**

- Every per-segment illuminant estimate is a potential scene illuminant
- The goal is to select two or three scene illuminants from them
- To do so, we define a distance function between two illuminants
  i<sub>s</sub> and q as

$$d(\mathbf{i}_s, \mathbf{q}) = \begin{cases} 1 - 9 \cdot a(\mathbf{i}_s, \mathbf{q}) & \text{if } a(\mathbf{i}_s, \mathbf{q}) \le 0.1 \\ 0.1 - (a(\mathbf{i}_s, \mathbf{q}) - 0.1)/9 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $a(\mathbf{i}_s, \mathbf{q}) = \cos^{-1}(\mathbf{i}_s \circ \mathbf{q})$  is the angular distance between two RGB-vectors

•  $d(\mathbf{i}_s, \mathbf{q})$  is just a piecewise-defined linear function of angular distance



## **Selecting Candidate Illuminants**

• The objective function is then

$$Q_{\mathsf{opt}} = \underset{Q}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{s \in S} \left( 1 - \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in Q} d(\mathbf{i}_s, \mathbf{q}) \right)^2 c_s$$

where

- S is the set of all segments,
- Q is the set of all illuminant estimates,
- $c_s$  is a linear weighting factor from the confidences, and
- $d(\mathbf{i}_s, \mathbf{q})$  is the distance between two illuminants, as defined on the previous slide
- Q<sub>opt</sub> rewards a selection of illuminant candidates, such that a maximum number of segment estimates is close to exactly one illuminant candidate
- We constrain the cardinality of  $Q_{\text{opt}}$  to two or three



#### **Qualitative Results**





## **Qualitative Results**









#### **Qualitative Results**





## **Discussion**

- Selection of two or three illuminants is an open issue although two might be enough in many scenarios
- The optimization criterion is not perfectly well-behaved for singleilluminant scenes: encouraging each segment to belong to exactly one candidate illuminant encourages a minimum distance of the candidate illuminants
- However, qualitative results on several real-world scenes look promising



#### **Outlook: Ground Truth**

 Meanwhile, we developed a way to obtain quantitative ground truth from a series of linear images under two illuminants:







Per-illuminant influence in the two-illuminant image



#### **Outlook: Conditional Random Field**

- We pursued the ideas of this talk in a Conditional Random Field framework (accepted in IEEE TIP two days ago)
- Here, an energy functional is minimized to automatically select the proper number of illuminants





## Summary

- Color constancy aims to separate object colors from illumination colors (e.g., for object recognition, white balancing...)
- Color constancy is underconstrained: more unknowns than observations
- Under non-uniform illumination, one has to estimate the colors of the illuminants and their spatial distribution
- We present a straightforward optimization approach to solve both tasks simultaneously
- In further work, we extended this functional to a more principled energy functional



## Thank you for your attention!





**TECHNISCHE FAKULTÄT**