

# Noise, Filtering and Smoothing



**Prof. Dr. Elli Angelopoulou**

**Pattern Recognition Lab (Computer Science 5)**

**University of Erlangen-Nuremberg**

# Noise Sources



- Photon noise: variation in the #photons falling on a pixel per time interval  $T$ .
- Saturation: each pixel can only generate a limited amount of charge.
- Blooming: saturated pixel can overflow to neighboring pixels.



## Noise Sources - continued




- Thermal noise: heat can free electrons and generate a response when there is none.
- Electronic noise.
- Burned pixels.
- Black is not black.
- Keep in mind: Camera response may not be linear over the number of photons falling on a surface (camera gamma)



# Detector Noise



- Source of noise: the discrete nature of radiation, i.e. the fact that each imaging system is recording an image by counting photons.
- Can be modeled as an independent additive noise which can be described by a zero-mean Gaussian.



# Salt and Pepper Noise



- A common form of noise is caused by *data drop-out noise*.
- It is also known as commonly referred to as intensity spikes, speckle, or salt and pepper noise.
- Sources of error:
  - Errors in the data transmission.
  - Burned pixels: the corrupted pixels are either set to the maximum value (which looks like snow in the image) or are set to zero ("peppered" appearance), or a combination of the two.
  - Single bits are flipped over.
- Isolated/localized noise. It only affects individual pixels.

# Filtering



- Most of the images we capture are noisy.

- Goal:



- This notion of filtering is more general and can be used in a wide range of transformations that we may want to apply to images.



- Mathematically, a filter  $H$  can be treated as a function on an input image  $I$ :

$$H(I) = R$$

- Note: We use the terms *filter* and *transformation* interchangeably.

# Linear Transformation



- A transformation  $H$  is **linear** if, for any inputs  $I_1(x,y)$  and  $I_2(x,y)$  (in our case input images), and for any constant scalar  $\alpha$  we have:

$$H(\alpha I_1(x,y)) = \alpha H(I_1(x,y))$$

and

$$H(I_1(x,y) + I_2(x,y)) = H(I_1(x,y)) + H(I_2(x,y))$$

- This means:
  - Scaling of the input corresponds to scaling of the output.
  - Filtering an additive image is equivalent to filtering each image separately and then adding the results.

# Shift-Invariant Transformation



- A transformation  $H$  is **shift-invariant** if for every pair  $(x_0, y_0)$  and for every input image  $I(x, y)$ , such that

$$H(I(x, y)) = R(x, y)$$

we get

$$H(I(x - x_0, y - y_0)) = R(x - x_0, y - y_0)$$

- This means that the filter  $H$  does not change as we shift it in the image (as we move it from one position to the next).



# Convolution



- If a transformation (or filter) is linear shift-invariant (LSI) then one can apply it in a systematic manner over every pixel in the image.
- **Convolution** is the process through which we apply **linear shift-invariant filters** on an image.



- Convolution is defined as:

$$R(x, y) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} H(x - i, y - j) I(i, j)$$

and is denoted as:

$$R = H * I$$



## Another Look at Convolution

- Filtering often involves replacing the value of a pixel in the input image  $F$  with the weighted sum of its neighbors.
- Represent these weights as an image,  $H$
- $H$  is usually called the **kernel**
- The operation for computing this weighted sum is called **convolution**.

$$R = H * I$$

- Convolution is:

- commutative,  $H * I = I * H$
- associative,  $H_1 * (H_2 * I) = (H_1 * H_2) * I$
- distributive,  $(H_1 + H_2) * I = (H_1 * I) + (H_2 * I)$


# Smoothing via Simple Averaging



- One of the simplest filters is the mean filter:  $H = \begin{bmatrix} 1/9 & 1/9 & 1/9 \\ 1/9 & 1/9 & 1/9 \\ 1/9 & 1/9 & 1/9 \end{bmatrix}$
- In this case,  $R(x, y) = \sum_{i=-1}^1 \sum_{j=-1}^1 I(x-i, y-j)H(i, j)$
- It is used for removing image noise, i.e. for smoothing.




\*  =



# Gaussian Smoothing



- Idea: Use a weighted average. Pixels closest to the central pixel are more heavily weighted.
- The Gaussian function has exactly that profile.
- Gaussian also better approximates the behavior of a defocused lens.



# Isotropic Gaussian Filter




- To build a filter  $H$ , whose weights resemble the Gaussian distribution, assign the weight values on the matrix  $H$  according to the Gaussian function:

$$H(i, j) = e^{-(i^2 + j^2)/2\sigma^2}$$

$$H_{Gauss} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/16 & 1/8 & 1/16 \\ 1/8 & 1/4 & 1/8 \\ 1/16 & 1/8 & 1/16 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Small  $\sigma$ , almost no effect, weights at neighboring points are negligible.
- Large  $\sigma$ , blurring, neighbors have almost the same weight as the central pixel.
- Commonly used  $\sigma$  values: Let  $w$  be the size of the kernel  $H$ . Then  $\sigma = w/5$ .

For example for a 3x3 kernel,  $\sigma = 3/5 = 0.6$




# Gaussian Smoothing Example



- Compared to mean filtering, Gaussian filtering exhibits no “ringing” effect.



Original image

\*  =




Image after Gaussian filtering (25x25 kernel)



# “Ringing” effect



Original image



Image after Mean filtering (25x25 kernel)






Image after Gaussian filtering (25x25 kernel)






A close look at the frequency response of the two filters show that: compared to Gaussian filtering, mean filtering exhibits oscillations



## The Effect of $\sigma$

- Different  $\sigma$  values affect the amount of blurring, but also emphasize different characteristics of the image.





# Non-Linear Smoothing



- The **median** filter considers each pixel in the image in turn and looks at its nearby neighbors to decide whether or not it is representative of its surroundings.
- It replaces a pixel value with the median of all pixel values in the neighborhood.
- It is a relatively slow filter, because it involves sorting.
- Can **not** be implemented via convolution.



# Smoothing Examples



Original image



Image after 9x9 Mean filtering



Image after 9x9 Gaussian filtering

# Mean Filter



Original image



Image after 3x3  
Mean filtering



Image after 7x7  
Mean filtering



Image after applying  
3 times 3x3 Mean  
filtering

- Mean filtering is sensitive to outliers.
- It typically blurs edges.
- It often causes a ringing effect.

# Gaussian Filtering and Salt & Pepper Noise



Original image



Image with salt-pepper noise (1% prob. that a bit is flipped)



Image after 5x5 Gaussian filtering,  $\sigma=1.0$



Image after 9x9 Gaussian filtering,  $\sigma=2.0$

- Gaussian filtering works very well for images affected by Gaussian noise.
- It is not very effective in removing Salt and Pepper noise.

# Median Filtering and Salt & Pepper Noise



Original image



Image with salt-pepper noise (5% prob. that a bit is flipped)



Image after 3x3 Median filtering



Image after 7x7 Median filtering



Image after applying 3 times 3x3 Median filtering

- Median filtering preserves high spatial frequency details.
- It works well when less than half of the pixels in the smoothing window have been affected by noise.
- It is not very effective in removing Gaussian noise.



# Image Sources

1. "Image with salt & pepper noise", Marko Meza.
2. Many of the smoothing and edge detection images are from the slides by D.A. Forsyth, University of California at Urbana-Champaign.