



General Information:

Exercises (1 SWS): Tue 12:15 – 13:45 (0.154-115) and Fri 08:15 – 09:45 (0.151-115)
Certificate: Oral exam at the end of the semester
Contact: peter.fischer@fau.de, shiyang.hu@fau.de

Regression

Exercise 1 The goal of this exercise is robust regression line fitting for N measurements (x_i, y_i) . Thus, you should estimate parameters a, b for a line $ax_i + b$ that best explains your observations y_i . Here we employ the Huber norm to make the estimate more robust to outliers compared to simple least-square regression:

$$(a, b) = \arg \min_{a, b} D(a, b) = \sum_{i=1}^N \phi_{\text{Huber}}(y_i - ax_i - b) \quad (1)$$

The parameters (a, b) are determined using iterative numerical optimization. The Huber norm is defined as

$$\phi_{\text{Huber}}(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 & \text{if } |x| \leq M \\ M(2|x| - M) & \text{if } |x| > M \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

- Calculate the gradient of the cost function w.r.t. a and b . The gradient is necessary for many iterative numerical optimization techniques.
Hint: You need to calculate the derivative of the Huber norm.
- Show that the Huber norm is convex. Use the first-order convexity condition for differentiable functions $f(x)$

$$f(z) \geq f(x) + f'(x)(z - x)$$

Start by proving convexity for $g(x) = x^2$ and $h(x) = M(2|x| - M)$. Then, treat the special cases that occur due to the piece-wise definition of the Huber norm. For this exercise, focus only on positive values x, z, M .

- Download the provided measurements from the exercise homepage. Minimize the Huber norm using MATLAB. You do not need the Classification Toolbox. Use the MATLAB function *fminunc*.
- Compare the robust line fitting to a ordinary least-square approach. Find situations where the robust approach is superior. Show that due to convexity, the optimum is always found.

Exercise 2 A training set of N independent samples with feature vectors $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$ and target variables $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ is given. A linear model with the parameter $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is assumed to estimate the target variable from the feature $b = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{a}$.

Ridge regression is least-squares linear regression with L_2 -norm regularization. It is defined by the optimization problem

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \quad , \quad (3)$$

with the design matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$, $\mathbf{A}(i, j) = \mathbf{a}_i(j)$ and the target vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^D$, $\mathbf{b}(i) = b_i$.

- (a) Derive the solution of the ridge regression optimization problem.
- (b) What is the effect of the regularization?
- (c) Ridge regression can be motivated by Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) estimation. In MAP estimation, the a posteriori probability of the parameters after observing the training data is maximized $\mathbf{x}^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$. The assumption of Gaussian noise $p(b|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a}) = \mathcal{N}(b|\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{a}, \beta^{-1})$ and a Gaussian prior for the parameters $p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{0}, \alpha^{-1}\mathbf{I})$ is made. Show that MAP estimation in this setting is equivalent to ridge regression.