# **Optimization Algorithms** Gradient Descent, Coordinate Descent



#### **Dr. Elli Angelopoulou**

Lehrstuhl für Mustererkennung (Informatik 5) Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg

## **Optimization Algorithms**



- Solving optimization problems is a key component of pattern recognition.
- Many of the optimization problems are quite complex. Deriving an analytic solution is not trivial.
- An alternative is to use an algorithm to (iteratively) compute an (approximate) solution to the optimization problem.
- A widely used optimization algorithm is gradient descent (also known as steepest descent).
- A closely related algorithm for simultaneous solution of multiple parameters is coordinate descent.

## Main Idea of Gradient Descent

- In order to find a local minimum of a function one can take steps proportional to the *negative of the* gradient of the function at the current point.
- Given a real valued function  $f(\vec{x}) \in R$ , which is differentiable at a point  $\vec{x}_j \in R^n$ , then at point  $\vec{x}_j$ , the function  $f(\vec{x})$  decreases the fastest in the direction of the negative gradient  $-\nabla f(\vec{x}_j)$  at  $\vec{x}_j$ , where

$$-\nabla f\left(\vec{x}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial f\left(\vec{x}\right)}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f\left(\vec{x}\right)}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial f\left(\vec{x}\right)}{\partial x_n}\right)$$



#### Gradient Descent



Thus if one "takes a small step s" on  $f(\vec{x})$  at point  $\vec{x}_j$ in the direction of the negative gradient  $-\nabla f(\vec{x}_j)$ , (s)he moves closer to the local minimum of the function  $f(\vec{x})$ .

$$s = -\eta \nabla f(\vec{x}_j)$$
$$\vec{x}_{j+1} = \vec{x}_j - \eta \nabla f(\vec{x}_j)$$

Hence, one can start with an initial guess  $\vec{x}_0$  for a local minimum of a function and follow a sequence of such steps  $\vec{x}_0, \vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots, \vec{x}_j, \vec{x}_{j+1}, \dots$  to gradually reach the local minimum.









#### **Gradient Descent Algorithm**



## k=0 Initialize $x_k$ while $x_k$ is not a minimum compute gradient $D_k$ at point $x_k$ compute step $s_k, s_k = -\eta_k D_k$ $x_{k+l} = x_k + s_k$ k = k+1end

#### The size of the step depends on

- The magnitude of the gradient
- The value of the scalar  $\eta_{
  m k}$

## Gradient Descent and Global Minimum



- Gradient descent converges to the closest local minimum.
- It computes the global minimum of a function only for unimodal functions.
- For functions with multiple minima, there is no guarantee that gradient descent will converge to the global minimum.
- A solution (still no guarantee): Run gradient descent multiple times starting from distinct initial points.



## **Remarks on Gradient Descent**



- Picking an appropriate x<sub>o</sub> is crucial, but also problemdependent.
- The stopping criteria are not clearly defined.
- For solving maximization problems, one can simply step in the direction of the gradient  $\nabla f(\vec{x}_i)$ .
- A well-known problematic behavior of gradient descent is its "zig-zagging" track in functions with very flat local minima (maxima), that approximate plateaus.



Plot of the Rosenbrock function, which has a very narrow and flat valley that contains the minimum. It takes many small steps, with localized zig-zagging behavior to eventually converge to the minimum.

Plots courtesy of Wikipedia, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gradient\_descent

### Coordinate Descent



Page 13

It is closely related to gradient descent.

It is designed for optimization problems where multiple parameters of the same optimization function must be simultaneously searched for the optimal solution.

$$\hat{\vec{x}} = \underset{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} f(\vec{x})$$

Main idea: Apply gradient descent in one coordinate axis at a time. In other words, first search for x<sub>1</sub>, then search for x<sub>2</sub>, then for x<sub>3</sub> and so on. For example, during the (k+1)th iteration:

$$x_{i}^{k+1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{y} f(x_{1}^{k+1}, x_{2}^{k+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{k+1}, y, x_{i+1}^{k}, x_{i+2}^{k}, \dots, x_{n}^{k})$$

## **Coordinate Descent - continued**



- In coordinate descent, unlike gradient descent, instead of descending along the direction of the gradient, one moves along a coordinate direction.
- In coordinate descent one cycles through the different coordinate directions.
- At each iteration one descents once through each coordinate direction.



Plot courtesy of Wikipedia, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coordinate\_descent

### Coordinate Descent – continued 2

- Coordinate descent has similar convergence properties as gradient descent.
- It can also get stuck in local minima.
- However, it is easy to implement and sometimes faster to compute. No gradient computation.
- Drawback: No convergence proof.
- A well-known problem of coordinate descent is that it may stop descending for non-smooth functions.





### Non-Smooth Functions and Coord. Descent



x = 3/2+3 t/2, y = -3/2+9 t/2

Plot courtesy of Wikipedia, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coordinate\_descent

#### Resources



Page 17

1. Some of the material on gradient descent is adapted from the slides by P. Smyth <u>http://www.ics.uci.edu/~smyth/courses/cs175/slides5b\_gradient\_search.ppt</u>