Hyperspectral Image Visualization with a 3-D SOM

Johannes Jordan, Elli Angelopoulou johannes.jordan@cs.fau.de Pattern Recognition Lab, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg

FRIEDRICH-ALEXANDER-UNIVERSITÄT **ERLANGEN-NÜRNBERG**

Motivation

Use-case Scenario:

- Interactive multispectral image analysis
- False-color visualization in short time
- Non-linear methods typically too slow

Contributions:

• Revisit false-coloring based on the Self-organizing Map (SOM) [1]

New Method

Provide higher quality output:

- Use larger SOM size: 10³ neurons (previous work: 64 to 256 neurons)
- Train with 100,000 samples
- Change false-color generation: several BMUs instead of single BMU

BMU lookup and combination:

Evaluation

Dataset:

- CAVE Multispectral Image Database [3] 512×512 pixels, 31 bands
- AVIRIS Indian Pines, 145² pixels, 220 bands
- HYDICE D.C. Mall, 1280×307 pixels, 191 bands

Experimental setup:

- SOM settings: $n = 10^3$, 100 000 iterations, C = 5



- Design a novel SOM for higher output quality
- Benchmark new method against PCA on diverse images

Source code is available at:

http://gerbil.sf.net/

Algorithm

Self-organizing map for false-coloring:

- 3D mesh of model vectors (neurons)
- Mapping: spectral vector $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow$ model vector \mathbf{m}_i
- Result after training: Topological representation of original spectral distribution
- SOM topology coordinates mapped to **R**, **G**, **B**



• We first obtain a vector of **C** BMU indices



• Then, for each pixel **v**, we calculate location **r**' as

$$\mathbf{r}' = \sum_j^{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{w}_j \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(\mathbf{c}_j^{(\mathbf{v})})}$$

given
$$\forall w_j, j < C: w_j = 2w_{j+1}$$

 $\sum_{j=1}^{C} w_j = 1$
 $\forall m_{c_j}, j < C: d(v, m_{c_j}) < d(v, m_{c_{j+1}}).$

• We finally obtain

$$r_{X,Y} = \frac{r'_1}{n'}, \quad g_{X,Y} = \frac{r'_2}{n'}, \quad b_{X,Y} = \frac{r'_3}{n'}$$

Novel rank-based weighting scheme:



• Per-channel entropy: $H = -\sum_{i=0}^{255} p_i \ln p_i$, where p_i is the probability of observing intensity *i*.

Algorithmic Results

Washington D.C. Mall: 400 nm - 2475 nm



(a) PCA false-color visualization, $H_R = 0.54$, $H_G = 0.75$, $H_B = 0.76$



(b) SOM false-color visualization, $H_R = 0.96$, $H_G = 0.92$, $H_B = 0.93$

Indian Pines: 400 nm - 2500 nm







SOM training phase:

- For *d* bands, we have *n* model vectors $\mathbf{m}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and a side length $n' = \sqrt[3]{n}$.
- The **best matching unit (BMU) m**_c has the index: $c^{(\mathbf{v})} = \operatorname{argmin} L_2(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{m}_i), \ (\mathbf{v}: \text{ input vector})$
- The *location* of \mathbf{m}_i is $\mathbf{r}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, $r_i^{(i)} \in [\mathbf{1}, n']$.
- At iteration *t*, the neighborhood function

 $h_{c,i}(t) = \alpha(t) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\left\|\mathbf{r}^{(c)} - \mathbf{r}^{(i)}\right\|^2}{2\sigma^2(t)}\right)$

defines the influence of $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t})$ on each \mathbf{m}_{i} .

False-color generation:

	• • •
2 RMIIc	~ RMIIc
Z DIMUS	

- always high influence of primary BMU
- exponential decay of rank weights
- example comparison with C = 10 against $w_i = \frac{1}{C}, \forall j$:



(a) Color matching functions

(b) single BMU



(c) averaged BMUs

(d) proposed method

8³

10³

(a) False-color visualizations: band composite, PCA, SOM



(b) average color assigned to ground-truth pixels of each class

Fake and Real Peppers: 400 nm - 700 nm





(a) PCA false-color visualization, (b) SOM false-color visualization, $H_R = 0.80, H_G = 0.77, H_B = 0.79$ $H_R = 0.94, H_G = 0.94, H_B = 0.91$

• The false-color values of a pixel **v** are obtained as

 $r_{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{r_{1}^{(\mathbf{c}^{(\mathbf{v})})}}{n'}, \quad g_{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{r_{2}^{(\mathbf{c}^{(\mathbf{v})})}}{n'}, \quad b_{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{r_{3}^{(\mathbf{c}^{(\mathbf{v})})}}{n'}.$

• **Problem:** Quantization effects, low quality output • Examples with n' = 4 (as suggested in [2]):



References

[1] Т. Кономем, Self-organizing maps, vol. 30 of Springer series in information sciences, Springer, 3rd edition, 2001.

[2] J. GORRICHA AND V. LOBO, Improvements on the visualization of clusters in georeferenced data using self-organizing maps, Computers & Geosciences, vol. 43, pp. 177 – 186, 2012

remote sensing images 4.8 s 10.1 s 17.8 s

6³

CAVE images 2.9 s 6.0 s 10.1 s

Timing Results

• computational complexity independent of

• measured on Intel Core i7-2600 CPU

#neurons **n**

• training takes almost all time

image size, parameter C

Conclusions

We employ the 3D SOM to generate false-color images. Our custom BMU lookup results in:

• a high quality image, • with more detailed information,

• at negligible increase in calculation.

Our method provides:

• a high-quality, non-linear mapping under tight time constraints.

[3] F. YASUMA, T. MITSUNAGA, D. ISO, S. K. NAYAR. Generalized Assorted Pixel Camera: Post-Capture Control of Resolution, Dynamic Range and Spectrum. *IEEE* Transactions on Image Processing, vol. 99, Mar. 2010.